REMARKS

This Response is in reply to the Office Action mailed on May 2, 2006. Applicant appreciates Examiner's indication that claims 1-8 contain allowable subject matter. Claims 13-48 are pending and are newly added. Claims 1-12 have been canceled herein. No new matter has been added. The new claims overcome the rejections under § 112, second paragraph, of claims 1-12, canceled herein.

Support for the new claims may be found in canceled claims 1-12, as well as in the original specification as follows:

Claim 13:

- listening station control software: page 2, lines 12-14;
- local server maintenance software: page 2, lines 23-24;
- microcontroller: page 9, lines 8 and 9, and lines 12-15.

Claim 14: page 7, lines 21-26.

Claims 15 and 16: page 2, lines 6 and 7, and page 8, line 28, to page 9, line 1.

Claim 17: page 7, lines 3-8.

Claim 18: page 7, lines 9-20.

Claim 19: page 7, line 27, to page 8, line 1.

Claims 20 and 21; page 8, lines 1-3.

Claim 22; page 8, 4-7.

Claim 23: page 8, lines 21-25.

Claims 24 and 25: page 8, lines 25 and 26.

Claims 26 and 27; page 8, lines 26-28.

Claim 28: page 9, lines 2-6.

Appl. No. 10/661,306 Anult. dated November 2, 2006 Response to Office Action of May 2, 2006

Claims 29 and 30: page 3, lines 4-6.

Claim 31: page 3, lines 9-12, page 8, line 24 and 25.

Claims 32 and 33: page 10, lines 22-24.

Claim 34:

- local server program: figures 4 and 4A; page 11, tine 29, to page 13, line 24;
- listening station program: figures 5 and 5A; page 13, line 25, to page 15, line 3.

Claim 35; page 12, lines 9-11.

Claim 36; figure 7; page 15, line 17 to page 16, line 2.

Claims 37, 38 and 39: page 15, line 22, to page 16, line 2.

Claim 40: page 12, lines 9-12.

Claim 41: figure 3; page 10, line 30, to page 11, line 22.

Claim 42: page 11, line 3 and page 6, line 17 and 18.

Claim 43: page 15, lines 6-8.

Claim 44: page 11, lines 5-13; page 5, lines 24-26 and 30.

Claim 45: page 3, lines 9-12.

Claim 46: figure 6; page 15, line 4-16.

Claims 47 and 48: page 15, lines 9-16.

In view of the allowable subject matter of claims 1-8, the newly added claims should now be in condition for allowance. Should any changes to the claims and/or specification be deemed necessary to place the application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned to discuss the same. Appl. No. 10/661,306

Amdt. dated November 2, 2006

Response to Office Action of May 2, 2006

This Response is being filed with a petition for a three-month extension of time and the required fee. Furthermore, additional claim fees are being submitted herewith. In the event that any other extensions and/or fees are required for the entry of this Amendment, the Patent and Trademark Office is specifically authorized to charge such fee to Deposit Account No. 23-2820 in the name of Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP. An early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR & SOLIS-COHEN

LLP.

Noam R. Pollack Reg. No. 56,829

Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP 250 Park Avenue, 10th Floor New York, New York 10177 (212) 986-1116